1	WRIGHT, FINLAY & ZAK, LLP			
1	Dana Jonathon Nitz, Esq.			
2	Nevada Bar No. 0050			
2	Christina V. Miller, Esq.			
3	Nevada Bar No. 12448			
4	Regina A. Habermas, Esq.			
7	Nevada Bar No. 8481			
5	7785 W. Sahara Ave., Suite 200			
_	Las Vegas, NV 89117			
6	(702) 475-7964; Fax: (702) 946-1345			
7	dnitz@wrightlegal.net			
,	cmiller@wrightlegal.net			
8	rhabermas@wrightlegal.net			
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff, Christiana Trust, a division of Wilmington Savings Fund Society, FSB,			
	not in its individual but as Trustee of ARLP Trust3			
10				
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
11	FOR THE DISTRI	ICT OF NEVADA		
10				
12	CHRISTIANA TRUST, A DIVISION OF	Case No.: 2:17-cv-02235-JCM-CWH		
13	WILMINGTON SAVINGS FUND SOCIETY,	Case No 2.17-cv-02255-JCM-CWH		
	FSB, NOT IN ITS INDIVIDUAL CAPACITY			
14	, and the second	MOTION TO ENLARGE TIME TO		
15	BUT AS TRUSTEE OF ARLP TRUST 3,	SERVE DEFENDANT PANORAMA		
13	Plaintiff,	TOWERS CONDOMINIUM UNIT		
16	r ramum,	OWNERS' ASSOCIATION WITH		
1.7	vs.	SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT		
17	v 5.			
18	PANORAMA TOWERS CONDOMINIUM			
10	UNIT OWNERS' ASSOCIATION, a Nevada			
19	Non-Profit Corporation,			
20	Tront Corporation,			
20	Defendant.			
21				
22	Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m), Plaintiff	F, Christiana Trust, a division of Wilmington		
23	Savings Fund Society, FSB, not in its individual but as Trustee of ARLP Trust3 ("Christiana"			
24	Trust"), by and through its attorneys of record, Dana Jonathon Nitz, Esq., Christina V. Miller			
25	Fig. and Regina A. Habermas, Fig. of the law firm of Wright, Finlay & Zalz, I.I.D. baraby.			
23	Esq., , and Regina A. Habermas, Esq. of the law firm of Wright, Finlay & Zak, LLP, hereby			
26	moves the Court to enlarge the time to serve Defendant, Panorama Towers Condominium Un			
27	Owners' Association (the "HOA") with the Summons and Complaint by at least an addition			
27	Owners' Association (the "HOA") with the Summons and Complaint by, at least, an additional			
28	45 days.			

2
 3
 4

This Motion is based on the attached memorandum of law, all pleadings and papers on file, and any oral argument as permitted by the Court. Since Christiana Trust has not yet served the Complaint on the named defendant, Christiana Trust anticipates the Motion will necessarily remain unopposed.

DATED this 21st day of November, 2017.

WRIGHT, FINLAY & ZAK, LLP

/s/ Regina A. Habermas, Esq.

Dana Jonathon Nitz, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 00050
Christina V. Miller, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 12448
Regina A. Habermas, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 8481
7785 W. Sahara Ave., Suite 200
Las Vegas, NV, 89117

Attorneys for Plaintiff, Christiana Trust, a division of Wilmington Savings Fund Society, FSB, not in its individual but as Trustee of ARLP Trust3

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I. INTRODUCTION

This action arises out of a non-judicial foreclosure sale of the real property located at 4575 Dean Martin Drive, Unit 1500, Las Vegas, Nevada 89103 (the "Property") conducted by the HOA (the "HOA Sale"). Christiana Trust seeks an award of damages resulting from the failure of the HOA and its foreclosure trustee to conduct the HOA Sale in compliance with applicable law. Christiana Trust respectfully requests the Court enter an Order enlarging the time to serve the Summons and Complaint on the HOA pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m) and 6(b). This Court has broad discretion to enlarge the time for service. In re Sheehan, 253 F.3d 507, 513 (9th Cir. 2001). Thus, the Court may enlarge the period of time within which a Summons and Complaint is to be served even where a party fails to demonstrate good cause for failure to serve within 90 days. Id. Christiana Trust submits that good cause exists to extend the deadline because the failure to effect service on the HOA within the prescribed period resulted from a desire to conserve the resources of the Court and the parties to this action. If the Court

does not find good cause exists in these circumstances, Christiana Trust submits the failure to serve the HOA was the result of excusable neglect and the Court should exercise its discretion to grant the requested extension.

II. PROCEDURAL HISTORY

This Action for Damages

On August 22, 2017, Christiana Trust filed its Complaint against the HOA. [ECF No. 1]. On August 29, 2017, Christiana Trust submitted its Proposed Summons to the HOA. [ECF No. 2]. The Summons was issued by the Court the same day. [ECF No. 4]. Also on August 29, 2017, Christiana Trust filed a Notice of Lis Pendens. [ECF No. 3]. Finally, on September 7, 2017, Christiana Trust filed its Certificate of Interested Parties [ECF No. 5].

State Court Title Dispute

On October 12, 2015, Christiana Trust filed a Complaint for Quiet Title and Declaratory Relief against the buyer at the HOA Sale, SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC ("SFR") in the Eighth Judicial District Court, in and for the State of Nevada, Case No. A-15- 726031-C (the "Quiet Title Action"). The pleadings closed on April 4, 2016, when Christiana Trust filed its Answer to SFR's Counterclaim. After the close of discovery in the Quiet Title Action, the parties filed competing Motions for Summary Judgment. The dispositive motions were fully briefed and the Court scheduled a hearing of them on October 24, 2017. Christiana Trust expected the Court to rule on the competing motions and resolve the title dispute during that hearing. However, the Court continued the hearing to December 7, 2017 and has not yet ruled on the dispositive motions.

Had the Court granted Christiana Trust's Motion for Summary Judgment in the Quiet Title Action, the claims asserted against the HOA here would likely be rendered moot and

See Declaration of Regina A. Habermas, Esq., attached hereto as **Exhibit 1**, at ¶ 6.

 $[\]frac{1}{2}$ Id. at ¶ 7.

³ <u>Id</u>. at ¶ 8.

⁴ <u>Id</u>. at ¶ 9.

 $^{^{5}}$ <u>Id</u>. at ¶ 10.

⁶ <u>Id</u>. at ¶ 11.

Christiana Trust could decide not to litigate those claims. Thus, the anticipated October 24, 2017 ruling was potentially dispositive of the issues raised in this action. Under those circumstances, Christiana Trust determined the Court's and parties' resources could be preserved if the Summons and Complaint were not served on the HOA until after the October 24, 2017 hearing. As noted above, the dispositive motion hearing in the Quiet Title Action was continued to a date after the November 20, 2017 deadline to serve the HOA in this case. As the result of mistake or inadvertence, the Summons and Complaint were not served on the HOA prior to that deadline. HEGAL ARGUMENT

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m),

If a defendant is not served within 90 days after the complaint is filed, the court—on motion or on its own after notice to the plaintiff—must dismiss the action without prejudice against that defendant or order that service be made within a specified time. But if the plaintiff shows good cause for the failure, the court must extend the time for service for an appropriate period. This subdivision (m) does not apply to service in a foreign country under Rule 4(f) or 4(j)(1) or to service of a notice under Rule 71.1(d)(3)(A).

Thus, Rule 4(m) provides two avenues for relief. <u>Lemoge v. United States</u>, 587 F.3d 1188, 1198 (9th Cir. 2009). The first is mandatory: the district court must extend time for service upon a showing of good cause for failure to serve within 90 days. <u>Id</u>. The second is discretionary: if the serving party does not show good cause, the Court has discretion to extend time for service, or to dismiss the complaint without prejudice. <u>In re Sheehan</u>, 253 F.3d 507, 513 (9th Cir. 2001). The Court's discretion to extend time for service, or to dismiss without prejudice for failure to timely serve, is broad. <u>Id</u>. The Court may extend time for service even after the 90-day period expires. <u>Efaw v. Williams</u>, 473 F.3d 1038, 1041 (9th Cir. 2007).

Here, the Court should exercise its discretion to extend the time for service and grant

 $^{^{7}}$ <u>Id</u>. at ¶ 12.

 $^{^{8}}$ <u>Id</u>. at ¶ 13.

⁹ Id. at ¶ 14.

¹⁰ <u>Id</u>. at ¶ 15.

¹¹ <u>Id</u>. at ¶ 16.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES MAGISTRA

Regina A. Habermas, Esq. 7785 W. Sahara Ave, Suite 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89117 Attorneys for Christiana Trust, a division of Wilmington Savings Fund Society, FSB, not in its individual capacity but as Trustee of ARLP Trust 3

E JUDGE

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
2	Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(b), I certify that I am an employee of WRIGHT, FINLAY		
3	ZAK, LLP, and that on this 21st day of November, 2017, I did cause a true copy of the		
4	MOTION TO ENLARGE TIME TO SERVE DEFENDANT PANORAMA TOWERS		
5	CONDOMINIUM UNIT OWNERS' ASSOCIATION WITH SUMMONS AND		
6	COMPLAINT to be e-served through the Court's e-filing electronic notice system to the		
7	attorney(s) associated with this case. If electronic notice is not indicated through the court's e-		
8	filing system, then a true and correct paper copy of the foregoing document was delivered via		
9	U.S. Mail.		
10			
11	Dana Jonathon Nitz, Esq.	dnitz@wrightlegal.net	
12	Christina V. Miller, Esq.	cmiller@wrightlegal.net	
13		/s/ Brandon M. Lopipero	
14		An Employee of WRIGHT, FINLAY & ZAK, LLP	
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

EXHIBIT LIST

EXHIBIT NO.	DESCRIPTION
1	Declaration of Regina A. Habermas, Esq.

Page 7 of 7